arXiv:1604.00148v5 [q-fin.GN] 1 Mar 2017

Market Integration in Prewar Japanese Rice Markets

Mikio Ito[a], Kiyotaka Maeda[b] and Akihiko Noda[c*]

[a] *Faculty of Economics, Keio University, 2-15-45 Mita, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8345, Japan*

[b] *Faculty of Economics, Seinan Gakuin University, 6-2-92 Nishijin, Sawara-ku Fukuoka 814-8511, Japan*

[c] *Faculty of Economics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Motoyama, Kamigamo, Kita-ku, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan*

[d] *Keio Economic Observatory, Keio University, 2-15-45 Mita, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8345, Japan*

This Version: March 2, 2017

Abstract: This paper examines the integration process of the Japanese major rice markets (Tokyo and Osaka) from 1881 to 1932. Using a non-Bayesian time-varying vector error correction model, we argue that the process strongly depended on the government's policy on the network system of the telegram and telephone; rice traders with an intention to use modern communication tools were usually affected by the changes in policy. We find that (i) the Japanese rice markets had been integrated in the 1910s; (ii) increasing use of telegraphs had accelerated rice market integration from the Meiji period in Japan; and (iii) local telephone system, which reduced the time spent by urban users sending and receiving telegrams, promoted market integration.

Keywords: Market Integration; Rice Futures Markets; Non-Bayesian Time-Varying Model Approach; Telecommunications.

JEL Classification Numbers: N25; G13; C22.

*Corresponding Author. E-mail: noda@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp, Tel: +81-75-705-1510, Fax: +81-75-705-3227.

1 Introduction

The integration of markets in different locations has attracted many economic historians, who are especially interested in agricultural commodity markets. An empirically significant question is: what promotes the integration process? However important this question is, we should consider the point of view from which we answer it. When agricultural commodity markets are discussed in a historical context, transportation cost plays a crucial role because its existence usually inhibits the law of one price. On the other hand, when modern and worldwide international foreign exchanges are discussed, we usually do not consider transportation cost, and feature the efficient market hypothesis and a vector error correction (VEC) model to examine the extinguishing arbitrages among markets that are geographically and informationally separated (see Fama (1970) and Gonzalez-Rivera and Helfand (2001)). In this article, we can capture the degree of market integration by measuring the adjustment speed back to the long-run equilibrium relationship among prices, regardless of how markets are disturbed. According to this approach, the time series of market prices are significant rather than is trading volume. Thus, we adopt a VEC model to estimate the long-run equilibrium relationship and adjustment speed; notice that the latter could reflect some tendency toward no arbitrage situations, even when markets are informationally separated.

Paying attention to historical aspects, there is much extant literature on market integration that has attracted many scholars (see O'Rourke (1997), Findlay and O'Rourke (2007), Federico (2012), and Obstfeld and Taylor (2004)). Furthermore, the literature includes studies that suggest that some development of the telegraph network accelerated market integration in general (see, for example, DuBoff (1983), Field (1992), and Hoag (2006)). In contrast, other scholars find some relationship between an improvement in communication infrastructure and reduction of transportation cost. Lew and Cater (2006) examine whether the laying of telegraph cable caused the decrease in transportation cost, while Federico (2011) argues that the reduction in transportation cost contributed to market integration. In short, an improvement in the telegraph network reduced not only the information barrier, but also the transportation cost. Thus, the improvement in communication infrastructure was an underlying factor of market integration. However, some studies focus on market integration prior to the introduction of the telegraph network in the second half of the 19th century.

Kaukiainen (2001) examines the magnitude of the impact of the telegraph and argues that the growing use of steamships promoted market integration in the early 19th century. Dobado-González et al. (2012) show that the commodity markets in Europe and the Americas began integration in the 18th century. However, previous studies have limited insight into the impact of the telegraph network because they do not analyze the significant relationship between the growing use of telegraphs and progress of market integration over time.

We present evidence showing that the progress of rice market integration depended on the increase in the use of telegraphs in prewar Japan. Japanese brokers began to trade rice futures at the *Dojima Kome Kaisho* (i.e.,the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange) in the early 18th century (see Suzuki (1940) and Schaede (1989)). Then, brokers in Europe and the US established futures exchanges after the middle of the 19th century. That is, the brokers

could trade commodity futures in Japan earlier than in Europe and the US. Hamori et al. (2001), Wakita (2001), Takatsuki (2008), and Kakizaka (2012) focus on the performance of the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange and investigate market efficiency in the sense of Fama (1970). However, the authors fail to create a consensus of the efficiency of the market at that period. In contrast, previous studies succeeded in building a consensus on the market integration in the Tokugawa period. Miyamoto (1988) and Takatsuki (2012) show that traders in western Japan regarded the price in the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange as the standard price for rice in the early 19th century. In short, the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange provided a fine index of the expected rice price and promoted the integration of the Japanese rice markets in the Tokugawa period. The rice futures markets after the Meiji period succeeded the system and customs of trading from the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange in the Tokugawa period (see Suzuki (1972)).

The Meiji government, which was formed in 1868, imported the telegraphic technique from the UK and rapidly built the telegraph network in the early 1870s. As a result, the brokers in Tokyo and Osaka, which are almost 250 miles (400 kilometers) apart, could send and receive telegrams after 1873. In the same period, the rice exchanges were established in Tokyo, and the brokers in the two major cities began to trade rice futures (see Tokyo Grain Exchange (2003, pp.27-36)); as such, not only could they trade rice futures in the 1870s, but also use telegraphs. Moreover, unlike their Japanese counterparts who experienced rice futures trading before the existence of the telegraph network, brokers in Europe and the US began to trade commodity futures simultaneously with the construction of the telegraph network. That is, the telegraph network was introduced after the start of rice futures trading in Japan. Nevertheless, there are few studies that focus on how the growth of telegraph use affected rice market integration after the Meiji period in Japan.

Sugiyama (1965) suggests that the improvement of transportation and information infrastructure promoted market integration, while Koiwa (1974, 1980) presents that the price trends in the local markets in the 1870s were highly correlated with those in Tokyo. Nakanishi (2002) shows that some correlation coefficients between the major and local markets increased from the 1880s to 1900s. In addition, the diffusion of telegraphs promoted capital market integration among the major and local markets (see Mitchener and Ohnuki (2009)).

Despite the abovementioned research effort, there remains much to be learned. First, the previous studies do not consider any structural change over time in the Japanese rice market. Ito et al. (2014a, 2017), who apply a non-Bayesian time-varying model approach using the price data in prewar Japan, show that rice market efficiency changed over time. Accordingly, we should consider that the process of market integration might have also changed over time. Second, the progress in the use of telegraphs was difficult to reflect in prewar Japan. The change in the number of telegraphs being sent was caused by the revision of the telegram fee (see Fujii (1998, pp.117-119)). Since the telegram fee was set by the government in Japan, we should examine the government's role in the market integration. Third, previous studies do not detect market integration between the two major cities in prewar Japan. As mentioned above, Koiwa (1974, 1980) and Nakanishi (2002) deal with the integration among the major and local markets. Accordingly, we detect how the change in the use of the telegraph affected the progress of the integration

between two major rice markets.

This paper presents a framework to find the dynamic nature of two major rice futures markets in prewar Japan and study a dynamic aspect of this market integration. The main idea is that the two markets, which worked for their participants to hedge price risk, were co-related and evolving under the development of telecommunications. We consider the time series of both the prices of spot and futures in the two markets. Then, we examine the dynamics of the four dimensional vectors employing a VEC model with time-varying parameters. In particular, considering the possibility of the loading matrix varying over time, we inquire into the dynamic nature of the adjustment process to the long-run equilibrium of the two markets as an aspect of market integration. We propose a measure for the speed of market integration based on the loading matrix and examine its time-varying nature, as well as the historical development of telecommunications in prewar Japan. Our empirical results show that the two major rice futures markets in prewar Japan accelerated their speed of market integration along with the increase in the use of telecommunications.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a short historical review on rice markets in Japan. Section 3 presents our methodology with respect to our non-Bayesian technique for a VEC model with time-varying parameters, and provides a statistical inference method for the above time-varying parameters, based on a residual-based bootstrap. Section 4 describes the data on the rice futures markets in prewar Japan. Section 5 shows our empirical results and their historical interpretation. Finally, Section 6 concludes.

2 Historical Review of Japanese Rice Markets

In Japan, rice had been circulated as pseudo money since the 16th century, before the Tokugawa Shogunate was established in 1603. During the period while the Shogunate ruled throughout Japan until 1867, the authority and clan governments (feudal lords) ordered most people to pay their taxes in rice. However, in the early 17th century, the authority began its mintage. In the 1660s, currency was circulated all over Japan; that is, Japan's monetary economy was advancing. At the same time, because the authorities were urged to pay merchants using specie money, they needed to turn their collected rice into money. Accordingly, they sold their rice to brokers in Osaka, which was the major trading center in Japan. Figure 1 shows the Japanese cities related to rice distribution.

(Figure 1 around here)

However, because every year the rice crop strongly depended on the climate condition, the rice price fluctuated wildly according to its harvest. Both authorities and brokers faced the volatile price risks whenever they traded rice.

In the early 18th century, brokers began to trade in futures in Osaka to hedge the price risks (see Schaede (1989)). Then, the Shogunate certified the *Dojima Kome Kaisho* (i.e., the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange) in 1730. The special rice-price messengers transferred the price at the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange to various local cities in Japan. In addition, rice traders desiring the latest price information used pigeon post and flag signaling. Although these means of communication were prohibited by the Shogunate, they provided

the fastest manner of communication at that time (see Takatsuki (2012, pp.335–340)). As a result, the Osaka-Dojima rice exchange provided a standard price for at least western Japan (see Miyamoto (1988, pp.402–403)).

In 1868, the Meiji government declared a new regime. Since then, the rice futures market changed. In the 1870s, new rice exchanges were established in Tokyo. In 1871, Hachirouemon Mitsui, the representative Japanese merchant in Tokyo, established a company named *Bouekishousha* to deal in rice futures. In 1874, another company, *Chugai Shougyo Kaisha* established by the wealthy merchants in Kagoshima, began to deal in rice futures. In 1883, these two rice exchanges were unified and renamed *Tokyo Kome-Shoukaisho* (i.e., the Tokyo rice exchange) (see Tokyo Grain Exchange (2003, pp.27–36)). Therefore, the rice brokers could trade rice futures in Tokyo and Osaka, the two major cities in Japan, after the 1870s; the environment of rice trading had changed.

Since the 1870s, rice traders could use the telegraph network to obtain the latest information regarding rice trading. The government began to build and operate this network using a British company's technique in the early 1870s. In fact, it laid the first telegraphic line between Tokyo and Nagasaki via Osaka in 1873; users could send and receive telegrams between Tokyo and Osaka. In the next decade, rice traders were able to obtain the latest news on price information more rapidly.

In 1889, the Ministry of Communications began to operate a limited telephone service between Tokyo and Atami on a trial basis. In the following year, the government launched a local and long-distance telephone service in Tokyo and Yokohama on a commercial basis. Moreover, in 1893, the Ministry of Communications opened another local telephone service in Osaka, and a new long-distance telephone service between Tokyo and Osaka was available in 1899 (see Ministry of Communications (1940b, pp.453–454)). The series of improvements of telephone network enabled high-speed communication between Tokyo and Osaka.

In particular, rice traders used the telegraph and telephone frequently. Previous literature on the history of information and communications in Japan mentions that stock dealers in Tokyo and Osaka have exchanged information about stock price with each other using the telegraph and telephone since the Meiji period (see Ishii (1994, p.192)). Rice traders also began to use communication facilities and exchange price information between Tokyo and Osaka in the Meiji period, since they often arbitraged between Tokyo and Osaka (see Shimizu (1913, pp.290–291)). In fact, Chugai Shogyo Shimpo (i.e., Chugai Commercial Newspaper) reported that a major rice trader in Kyoto made deals in both Tokyo and Osaka in October 1893.[1] In this situation, rice traders obtained the latest trade information for rice, and the rice price in certain markets responded sensitively to price fluctuations in the other markets.

Especially, rice traders in Tokyo and Osaka mainly used the telegram for long distance communications, which was the result of two factors. First, the telegram fee between Tokyo and Osaka was lower than the corresponding telephone fee, as will be described in Section 5. Second, using the long-distance telephone service did not always accelerate the communication speed. The users of long-distance telephone service had to wait a long time for telephone switching because the capacity of the telephone lines was insufficient. In fact,

[1] See Chugai Shogyo Shimpo (1893b) for more details.

the average waiting time for a telephone call from Tokyo to Osaka was 203 minutes in 1937 (see Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Public Corporation (1960, p.482)). Consequently, rice traders used the telegram frequently, and they attempted to reduce the characters in the telegram since their fee was charged per number of characters. Accordingly, rice traders sent telegrams in code, which also contributed to the guarding of the secrecy of communications.

Figure 2 shows a telegram code that Masuzo Hirai, a representative rice trader in Tokyo, used in 1899; to transmit rice-trading information, many rice traders used their own telegram codes, similar to that in 2, which reduced their telegram fee. In addition, on September 25, 1893, according to Yomiuri Shimbun (i.e., Yomiuri Newspaper), the rice price in Tokyo increased as soon as rice traders there obtained information regarding the large transactions by major rice traders in Osaka.[2] Furthermore, the telegram transmitted not only trade information, but also disaster information. For example, in October 1893, when the typhoon passed through western Japan, the telegraph transmitted information on the flood damage in western Japan to Tokyo and Osaka, and the rice price increased in both cities.[3]

(Figure 2 around here)

At the same time, rice traders could use another instrument to obtain information. In the 1870s, many publishers of newspapers and business magazines were established; they introduced steam-driven printing machines enabling printing in large quantities (see Minami (1976, pp.31–32)). The publishers sold their newspapers and magazines with various price information acquired by using the telegram for lead articles. They published in large volumes and extensively diffused price information among market participants.

During this same period, the transportation infrastructure was also developed. The Japanese government began to build a railroad network in 1870, and a first mainline, the Tokaido Line, between Tokyo and Kobe via Osaka was opened in 1889 (see Muramatsu and Amazawa (1965, p.42)). However, the railroad did not connect cities and farming villages, since it was built between major cities until the end of the 19th century. Consequently, rice had been carried mainly by sailing ships until the late 1890s. In other words, rice traders did not use steam ships for rice transportation, which had the advantages of safety and promptness when compared to sailing ships. In the meantime, sailing ships had the advantage of lower transportation costs, when compared to steam ships, since they did not need fuel. For example, the transportation cost of a sailing ship was about 30% cheaper than that of a steam ship in 1879 (see Morita (1975, pp.78–79)). However, the use of steam ships expanded rapidly in the 1900s.

In 1902, the tonnage of a steam ship exceeded that of a sailing ship in Japan (see Miwa (1975, p.346)). Moreover, the widespread use of steam ships improved transportation conditions. First, transportation costs were reduced with the intensifying competition among steam ships. In fact, the cost for coal transportation from the port of Wakamatsu to port of Yokohama, the major index of ship-freight cost in prewar Japan, decreased by

[2]See Yomiuri Shimbun (1893) for details.

[3]See Chugai Shogyo Shimpo (1893a) for details.

32% from 1899 to 1903 (see Toyo Keizai Shimpo Sha (1927, p.624)).[4] Second, numerous steamer coastal lines were introduced, and reduced transportation costs. For example, rice traders in Kagoshima paid 80 yen for the transportation of 100 koku of rice to Tokyo in 1897.[5] This cost included the transshipment charge at the port of Kobe, since the direct ship course between Kagoshima and Tokyo was yet not introduced. However, after the introduction of a direct course between both cities, rice traders in Kagoshima paid only 40 yen for the rice freight cost to Tokyo in 1902 (see Sasaki (1937, pp.456–457)). In the same year, the annual average rice price per 100 koku in Tokyo was 1,267 yen (see Nakazawa (1933, p.390)). In short, the transportation cost between both cities was only 3% of the rice price. Even rice traders who were located in remote places, away from major markets, such as Tokyo and Osaka, could use this low-cost transportation in the 1900s.

In the 1910s, the rice price increased in association with the industrialization and urbanization in Japan. From 1910 to 1920, the annual average rice price per 100 *koku* in Tokyo increased from 1,303 to 4,428 yen (see Nakazawa (1933, pp.422, 462)). At the same time, World War I began, and the ship freight cost dramatically increased; the ship freight cost to transport coal between Wakamatsu and Yokohama increased by 10.8 times from 1910 to 1918 (see Toyo Keizai Shimpo Sha (1927, p.624)). This situation shrunk the cost gap between ship freight and rail transport. From 1890 to 1910, the distance of the railroad in Japan increased from 1,750 to 5,606 miles, and it connected cities and farming villages (see Toyo Keizai Shimpo Sha (1927, p.619)). Furthermore, the Japanese government nationalized private mainlines in 1906, and integrated the system of rail transportation. However, the rail transportation cost was still higher than that of ship freight before World War I. For example, the cost to transport 100 koku of rice from Oita to Osaka was 90 yen by rail and 50 yen by ship in 1914; it was 76 yen by rail and 70 yen by ship in 1919. This cost gap shrunk during World War I (see Transportation Bureau, Ministry of Railways (1925, p.550)). In the same year, the annual average rice price per 100 *koku* in Tokyo was 4,503 yen (see Nakazawa (1933, p.458)). In short, although the ship freight cost increased, the cost for long-distance transportation remained below 2% of the rice price. After the war, rice traders mainly used the railroad to transport rice. In fact, 98% of the rice that arrived in Tokyo in 1921 was transported by rail (see Sasaki (1937, p.273)).

From the late 19th to early 20th century, when the communication infrastructure was developed, the transportation infrastructure was also rapidly developing. This significantly reduced the transportation cost; however, the rice transportation cost had maintained a small percent of the rice price since the turn of the 20th century. These government-operated networks of telegraph and railroad not only increased the amount of information communication and transportation, but also accelerated their speed. Whereas private firms mainly built telegraph and railroad networks in Europe, the government imported Western techniques in order to rapidly introduce both networks in Japan. Consequently, the Japanese government operated transportation and telecommunication infrastructure on its initiative, and tremendously increased the speed of transportation and

[4]The port of Wakamatsu was the major coal port, since Wakamatsu City was near Chikuho coalfield, one of the largest coalfields in Japan.

[5]*Koku* is a unit of rice trading volume in Japan. One *koku* is equal to 180.39 liters.

information communication between Tokyo and Osaka.

3 The Model

This section presents our framework to estimate a time-varying VEC model and conduct inference for the estimates. Featuring the time series of both the prices of spot and futures in the two markets, we examine the dynamics of the four dimensional vectors employing a VEC model with time-varying parameters. In particular, we focus on the time-varying loading matrix of the model, as it provides information for an aspect of market integration.

3.1 Framework

We consider a time-varying VEC model for the four-dimensional, multiple time-series data of spot and futures prices in Tokyo and Osaka:[6]

$$X_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1t} \\ x_{2t} \\ x_{3t} \\ x_{4t} \end{pmatrix}, (t = 1, \cdots, T),$$

where x_{1t}, x_{2t}, x_{3t}, and x_{4t} denote the logged spot and futures prices of the Tokyo and Osaka rice exchanges, respectively. Furthermore, supposing that there is an appropriate size of data for priors, we obtain $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-1}$. In this paper, we suppose that a long-run relationship, represented by simultaneous linear equations among the above four variables at each period, has drifts and is independent of the time trend. Thus, the VEC model in this paper is represented as follows, in which all coefficients are time-invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_1 & \cdots & \Delta X_T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & \cdots & \Gamma_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_0 & \cdots & \Delta X_{T-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Delta X_{-k+1} & \cdots & \Delta X_{T-k+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \Pi \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ X_{1-k} & \cdots & X_{T-k} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$ is an exogenous shock in each period following intertemporally independent distributions. The coefficient matrix Π has a decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ of their ranks of r that $\Pi = \boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\beta}'$, if the cointegration order is r. Note that this Π is a 4×5 matrix, since we consider that the long-run equations between two rice markets in Tokyo and Osaka include drift terms.

3.2 Least Square Technique for Ordinary Vector Error Correction Model

[6]See the online appendix of Ito et al. (2016) for a more detailed discussion, available at `http://at-noda.com/appendix/efficiency_integration_appendix.pdf`.

ecause a VEC model is algebraically derived from a certain vector autoregressive (VAR) model, a linear stochastic system, it is also such a system. Thus, we can estimate parameters $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, Γ_i, and Π using some regression techniques, such as OLS or GLS. Let Z_0, Z_1, and Z_k denote appropriate data matrices, represented in Equation (1). Furthermore, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ denotes a matrix of exogenous shock vectors. With regard to Equation (1), its expression is as follows:

$$Z_0' = \Gamma Z_1' + \Pi Z_k' + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \tag{2}$$

where:

$$Z_0' = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_1 & \cdots & \Delta X_T \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z_1' = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X_0 & \cdots & \Delta X_{T-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Delta X_{-k+1} & \cdots & \Delta X_{T-k+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z_k' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ X_{1-k} & \cdots & X_{T-k} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & \cdots & \Gamma_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix Γ might include $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ as well as $\Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_k$. It provides us with information about the stationary aspect of the time series X_t. On the other hand, the matrix takes a crucial role in a VEC model; it is decomposed into *loading matrix* $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and the *cointegration matrix* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, such that $\Pi = \boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\beta}'$. Both matrices $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ have r values less than four, called the cointegrated rank order. In particular, $\boldsymbol{\beta}' Z_k'$ signifies some long-run relationship among the variables, represented by equations with values less than four. In this paper, we are interested in the time-varying nature of loading matrix $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$,, given the long-run relationships.

One can select the lag order k using the usual information criteria, such as Schwarz's (1978) Bayesian information criterion for each linear model above; they are easy to compute. The cointegration order r is usually selected through the well-known Johansen's test; this procedure on the rank helps us to obtain all of the estimates and statistics for applied econometrics (see Johansen (1988, 1991)).

3.3 Time-Varying Vector Error Correction Model

Since we regard a VEC model as a simultaneous linear regression, as in Equation (2), we can examine the possibility of parameter constancy on Π and Γ using Hansen's (1992) parameter constancy test. Its null hypothesis is that parameters are time-invariant, and the alternative hypothesis is that they are martingales.

There are several stochastic processes that are martingales. Thus, when the null hypothesis is rejected, we have to choose one of such processes that would be followed by the time-varying parameters in our model. Because we are interested in gradual changes

in the speed of adjustment to a certain long-run equilibrium for a VEC model, we choose a parameter dynamic in which the parameters follow a random walk.

We present our method to estimate the time-varying loading matrix, regarding a VEC model as a simple linear regression, as in Equation (1). To this end, we can simply apply Ito et al.'s (2014b) method to estimate a linear regression model.[7] In practice, we estimate Γ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, regarding $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ as time-invariant and given.

$$\Gamma_t = \Gamma_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{u}_t, \tag{3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{v}_t. \tag{4}$$

First, we build an r dimensional time series, $Y = Z_k\boldsymbol{\beta}$, where r is the cointegrating order. Then, we apply Ito et al.'s (2014b) method again to a new time linear regression:

$$Z'_{0t} = \Gamma_t Z'_{1t} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t Y'_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t, \tag{5}$$

where Z_{0t}, Z_{1t}, Y_t, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$ are the kth columns of Z_0, Z_1, Y, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, respectively, considering Equations (3) and (4). Note that both $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_t$ for each t cannot be estimated. In particular, since $\Pi_t = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t\boldsymbol{\beta}'_t$ for each t and Π_t is not of full rank, a decomposition of Π_t into $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is not unique. Thus, either $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ or $\boldsymbol{\beta}_t$ is supposed time-invariant for the most general case of both Π_t and Γ_t supposed time-varying.

3.4 Speed of Market Integration

We regard $\boldsymbol{\beta}' Z'_k = \mathbf{0}$ as long-run equilibrium relations with respect to the multiple time series. The loading matrix $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, representing the speed of adjustment, is time-varying when we use a time-varying VEC model to analyze market integration. Thus, we focus our attention on the time-varying loading matrix $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$, which provides information about the dynamics of market integration. The larger the absolute value of its components, the more significant their contribution to ameliorate deviation from the long-run equilibrium. Thus, we propose an index of market integration based on the loading matrix. Then, we apply the index for the time-varying loading matrix $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ to investigate how the speed of market integration varies.

We derive the index ζ_t from $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ following Ito et al. (2014b). In particular:

$$\zeta_t = \sqrt{\max \lambda(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_t)}. \tag{6}$$

That is, ζ_t is the square root of the largest eigen value of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_t$, which is a non-negative semidefinite matrix, for each t. Notice that the higher the index, the faster the adjustment of markets to the long-run equilibrium.

[7] See their online appendix which is available at `http://at-noda.com/appendix/inter_market_appendix.pdf`.

3.5 Confirming Our Assumption of Constant Cointegrating Vectors

We make several substantial assumptions on our time-varying VEC model. Among these, the assumption that the cointegrating vectors are constant over time, while the loading matrix is time-varying, is crucial. When we estimate the time-varying coefficients, we need to confirm that the cointegrating vectors are stable. In fact, one could say that if the matrix $\hat{I}\breve{a}$ is time-varying, the number of cointegrating vectors (as well as the cointegrating vectors themselves) is time-varying. Then, we apply a fine test proposed by Qu (2007)[8] to our rice data in order to confirm the stable cointegrating vectors. Essentially, by using this test, we can assess whether the number of cointegrating vectors has changed during a subsample, such as the time between T_a and T_b, where neither T_a nor T_b is known to the researcher. The null hypothesis of Qu's (2007) test states that the number of cointegrating vectors is constant for the whole sample. Hence, when we cannot reject the null hypothesis, we can say that we have a certain justification of our assumption that the cointegrating vectors are stable over time.

4 Data

We conduct a dataset of monthly rice spot and futures prices in prewar Japan (Tokyo and Osaka), when we argue the integration of the two major rice futures markets, with respect to the telegram and telephone networks of prewar Japan. It would be no problem to use the data for our goal. The argument of financial market integration is mathematically related to the cointegration of the return series of financial commodities; this property is irrelevant to a wide range of transformations. For example, when an original daily series has this property, the monthly series obtained by the monthly averaging of the daily series still has the property of cointegration. Furthermore, another monthly series obtained by selecting the last observation for each month also has this same property. That is, even if we employ lower frequency data due to availability, it would not be a problem, except for reducing the precision for statistical analyses, when we address market integration.

For the rice futures prices, we only utilize weighted average monthly values for the deferred contract (three months) in the Tokyo and Osaka rice exchanges because it is widely known that the deferred contract month transactions amounted to approximately 70% of all futures in both rice exchanges.[9] For the rice spot data, spot prices in Tokyo are on the Tokyo-Fukagawa rice spot market, and the spot prices in Osaka are the wholesale prices of rice in Osaka. These datasets consist of the following three statistics: (i) Nakazawa (1933) for all futures prices from October 1880 through November 1932, (ii) the *Tokyo City Statistics* and Ministry of Commerce and Industry (1931, p.342) for the spot prices in Tokyo from April 1881 through November 1932, and (iii) Miyamoto et al. (1979) and the *Osaka City Statistics* for the spot prices in Osaka from April 1881 through November

[8] As detailed in Juselius (2006), there are a number of econometrics tests that investigate the constancy of cointegrating vectors. In our view, however, the Qu (2007) test is best suited for our purpose because it considers a variety of test statistics and their asymptotic properties, without assuming the timing of possible structural breaks.

[9] Figures 3 and 4 of Ito et al. (2014a) show that the farthest contract month transaction was larger than any other futures transaction in both Tokyo and Osaka.

1932. There are a few missing values in both sets of statistics; therefore, we fill in the missing values using a seasonal Kalman filter.

For our estimations, we take the natural log of the spot and futures rice prices, to obtain the level data, as well as the first difference of the natural log of the level data, to obtain the returns on spot and futures prices, as the first difference data.

Table 1 shows the results of the unit root test with descriptive statistics for the data. We confirm whether the variables satisfy the stationarity condition and apply the augmented Dickey-Fuller generalized least squares (ADF-GLS) test of Elliott et al. (1996). We employ the modified Bayesian information criterion (MBIC) instead of the modified Akaike information criterion (MAIC) to select the optimal lag length because, from the estimated coefficient of the detrended series, $\hat{\psi}$, we do not find the possibility of size distortions (see Elliott et al. (1996); Ng and Perron (2001)). There is widespread agreement that the logarithmic spot and futures rice prices are both integrated of the order one (or $I(1)$ process), so that the differences are stationary (or $I(0)$ process) variables.

(Table 1 around here)

5 Empirical Results

5.1 Preliminary Results

We first confirm cointegration relations by using Johansen's (1988) maximal eigenvalue test and Johansen's (1991) trace test. Table 2 presents the results of the tests. The eigenvalue and trace statistics are shown in the third and the fifth columns, respectively. Both the results reject the three null hypotheses of "$r = 0$ (no cointegration)," "$r = 1$ (at most one cointegration)," and "$r = 2$ (at most two cointegration)," in favor of "$r = 3$ (at most three cointegrations)" at the 1% significance level. This indicates the presence of three cointegrating relationships.

(Table 2 around here)

We assume a time-invariant VEC model for our preliminary estimations. Then, we regress the difference of each of the four rice prices on their lagged values and error correction terms of the time-invariant VEC model. Table 3 summarizes our estimation results for a time-invariant VEC model for the whole sample. Notice that only three error correction terms significantly affect the price changes of spot and futures in Tokyo and Osaka. This is consistent with the above results of Johansen's cointegration tests. In particular, the price change of futures in Tokyo depends only on its lagged value.

(Table 3 around here)

Moreover, Table 3 also shows some asymmetric structure of rice markets in Tokyo and Osaka. First, the change in futures rice prices in Osaka depends not only on its lagged value, but also on the corresponding value in Tokyo. Second, the change in spot rice price in Osaka does not depend on its lagged value, but on the corresponding value in Tokyo. Third, both the changes in spot and futures rice prices in Tokyo solely depend on their

own lagged values. These three facts concern the short-run effects on price changes in rice markets. On the other hand, the error correction terms affect three rice prices in Tokyo and Osaka, except for the change in the futures rice price in Tokyo. Apparently, the rice market in Osaka is dependent, whereas that in Tokyo is independent. However, these results are interesting, in that the time-invariant VEC model fails to capture the chronological market integration of the two rice markets in detail.

As discussed in Section 2, the Japanese government had advanced the building of the telegraph network since the 1870s. Then, more and more market participants could enjoy quick communication on the rice price between Tokyo and Osaka. Therefore, we should examine the evolution of the rice market structure across the two cities corresponding to the acceleration of information propagation. As such, Table 3 shows the result of Hansen's (1992) parameter constancy test, which suggests that the parameters of our VEC model vary over time.

Now, relaxing the assumption that the parameters of our VEC model are time-invariant, we employ the time-varying parameter model that presumably could better capture the dynamics of the spot and futures prices of rice when we take into account the gradually changing market environment. Therefore, we would obtain a different market nature if we only once applied the time-varying VEC model. However, before we proceed, we should confirm whether the cointegrating relationship is stable, that is, that the cointegrating vector is preserved for the whole sample period. Using Qu's (2007) test, we can conclude that the number of cointegrating order among the spot and futures prices of the two rice exchanges in Tokyo and Osaka does not alter over time at the 1% significance level. These results are presented in Table 4.

(Table 4 around here)

In the next subsection, we estimate the time-varying speed index of market integration using the time-varying loading matrix of the TV-VEC model. It provides information on extensive dynamics of rice market integration of Tokyo and Osaka.

5.2 Time-Varying Speed of Market Integration and Historical Interpretation

5.2.1 Growing Telecommunications and Speed of Market Integration

We have defined the speed of market integration from the loading matrix of a TV-VEC model to examine to what extent markets are integrated. Figure 3 exhibits two panels: the time-varying speed of market integration for the Tokyo and Osaka rice exchanges, obtained from our estimates of the TV-VEC model, and corresponding series of its difference at the each period. The bottom panel of Figure 3 indicates that there are few periods in which the speed of market integration slowed down.

(Figure 3 around here)

Figure 4 shows that the real fees of the telegram and telephone network between Tokyo and Osaka from 1887 to 1932, respectively.[10] According to the figure, although the real

[10]We should use the consumer price index (CPI) to deflate the fees; however, the monthly CPI does not exist in our sample period. Therefore, we deflate the fees using the average of the wholesale price index (WPI) from 1934 to 1936. Note that the correlation coefficient between the annual average estimated CPI (which is calculated by Okawa et al. (1967)) and WPI is very high (0.977) from 1887 to 1932.

telephone fee fell greatly after the 1900s, the real telegram fee was lower than the real telephone fee during this period, which reflects the difference in charging systems between the telegram and telephone; the telegram fee was independent of the distance between users and telephone fee depended on it. Specifically, calls between users within about 200 kilometers (about 124 miles) of one another occupied 81% of the long distance calls in 1932. Moreover, long distance calls were mainly used for short- and medium-distance communication. On the other hand, telegrams between users more than 200 kilometers from one another occupied 80% of all telegrams in 1932, as they were mainly used for long distance communication (see Kosaki (1934, pp.103–104)). According to the distance chart of telegraph, the length of telegraph cable between Tokyo and Osaka was 554 kilometers (344 miles). Since the distance was over 200 kilometers, the telegram was mainly used between the two cities.

(Figure 4 around here)

Based on the abovementioned information, we discuss the number of telegrams that were sent in Tokyo and Osaka from 1881 to 1932 (as shown in Figure 5). We are supposed to discuss the number of telegrams exchanged between the two cities, but there are no available statistics that present such data. Accordingly, we discuss the data shown in Figure 5 as a proxy for the number of telegrams exchanged between Tokyo and Osaka. In fact, Tokyo had the largest share of sent telegrams from Osaka, and Osaka had the second-largest share of sent telegrams from Tokyo in the late 1930s (Ministry of Communications (1940a, pp.743–744)).

(Figure 5 around here)

The trend of sending telegrams shown in Figure 5 exhibits a similar shape to the time-varying speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka shown in Figure 3. In particular, the correlation coefficient is very high (0.908) when the annual average time-varying speed of market integration from 1881 to 1932 is computed and compared with sent telegrams. Along with the growing telecommunications between the two cities, activated mainly by appreciation in the number of sent telegrams, the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka significantly increased. Since the evolution of communication ameliorates efficiency in commerce, this correspondence seems quite natural. However, the trend of Figure 3 differs from that of Figure 5 in two points. First, while the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka notably increased in the 1880s, the appreciation in the number of sent telegrams only moderately increased. Second, while the increase in the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka lessened in the 1900s, the number of sent telegrams was continuously increasing. Consequently, we will mainly discuss what changed the speed of market integration between the two cities, focusing on these two points.

5.2.2 Improvement of Telegraphic Technique and Diffusion of Press in 1880s

Whereas the number of sent telegrams gently increased until the 1880s, the following three factors caused the rapid increase in the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka (see Figures 3 and 5). First, the required time for telegraph communication

shortened. In 1882, the Telegraph Bureau of the Ministry of Engineering in Japan introduced the Wheatstone Automatic Telegraphic Machine from the UK. This machine could transmit and receive 400 characters in one minute, which greatly shortened connection time (see Ministry of Communications (1940a, p.337)).

Second, the following refinement of equipment improved the quality of telegraphic communication. Until the beginning of the 1880s, the Telegraph Bureau laid the telegraphic wire with the aerial insulator imported from the UK. However, since its insulator was unsuitable for the humid climate in Japan, it often short-circuited; as such, Japanese telegraph users faced poor communication quality. Accordingly, the Telegraph Bureau began to employ the Japanese advanced insulator for the telegraphic wire between Tokyo and Nagasaki, and the quality of telegraphic communication between Tokyo and Osaka was improved (see Ministry of Communications (1940a, p.441–444)).

Third, more newspapers and business magazines were published in the end of the 19th century than had been before. Publishers had frequently used telegrams to obtain market information and distributed the price data among merchants and businesspersons. Such publishers, located in the cities, especially in Tokyo and Osaka, issued their initial numbers around 1880. For example, "*Jiji Shimpo*" (Jiji Newspaper) in Tokyo and "*Asahi Shimbun*" (Asahi Newspaper) in Osaka made their first appearances in 1882 and 1879, respectively. Moreover, "*Tokyo Keizai Zasshi*" (Tokyo Economic Magazine), a well-known business magazine in prewar Japan, was launched in 1879 (see Sugihara (1990, p.224)). As a result, from 1878 to 1889, copies of newspapers and magazines increased from 31 to 102 million (see Grand Council of State, Statistical Commission (1883, p.441); Cabinet Office, Statistical Bureau (1891, p.531)). Even merchants who did not use the telegram were able to gain the latest market information in remote locations because of the diffusion of newspapers and magazines.

In the 1890s, the speed of market integration between the two cities increased along with the growth of sent telegrams (see Figures 3 and 5). The Ministry of Communication set the telegram fee between Tokyo and Osaka at 0.15 yen in July 1885, and left it unchanged until February 1899 (see Figure 4).[11] However, the consumer price index increased by almost 1.3 times in the same period (see Okawa et al. (1967, p.135)). Therefore, the real telegram fee was reduced, while the number of sent telegrams increased.

5.2.3 Rising Telegram Fee and Interruption of Increase in Telephone Subscribers from the 1890s to the Mid-1900s

The increase in the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka became moderate from the end of the 1890s to mid-1900s (see Figure 3), which was the result of two different points. The first point involves the decrease in the appreciation rate of the speed of market integration from the end of the 1890s to early 1900s, and the second point involves the similar situation from the early to mid-1900s. Accordingly, we will discuss these two points separately.

[11] In 1885, the Telegraph Bureau was combined with the Postal Bureau, Mercantile Marine Bureau of the Ministry of Agriculture and Commerce, and Lighthouse Bureau of the Ministry of Engineering into the Ministry of Communications.

The first point was an interruption of the increase in sent telegrams from 1899 to 1902 (see Figure 5). In short, the decrease in the appreciation rate of the speed of market integration around 1900 is explainable in terms of the trend of sending telegrams. In April 1899, the Ministry of Communications raised the telegram fee (see Figure 4). From then to 1902, the Japanese economy slipped into stagnation. In fact, in that time, the real GDP of Japan grew slightly from 6.3 to 6.4 million yen (see Okawa et al. (1974, p.213); Nishikawa and Abe (1990, p.60)). During the recession, the consumer price index slightly increased by 1.2 percent from 1900 to 1902 (see Okawa et al. (1967, p.135)). Therefore, the real telegram fee did not decrease until 1902 (see Figure 3). However, the Japanese economy went through a phase of recovery in 1903, and commodity prices began to increase. As such, because of the downward trend of the real telegram fee, the number of sent telegrams increased again.

The second point was another interruption of the increase in units on the local telephone calls in Tokyo and Osaka from 1903 to 1908 (see Figure 6). The decrease in the appreciation rate of the speed of market integration in the mid01900s is explainable not in terms of the trend of sending telegrams but in terms of the interruption of increase in the use of the service with local telephone as mentioned below. In 1890, the Ministry of Communications launched a new service to shorten the required time for sending and receiving telegrams. In the same year, the telegraph office began to send and receive the content of telegrams through local telephone service, acting as a hybrid form of telecommunication between the local telephone and remote telegram services for local telephone subscribers around the same time. The subscribers had to pay 66 yen as the annual telephone charge, which was approximately 440 times higher than the telegram fee between Tokyo and Osaka. However, they could use the local telephone service without an additional charge. As a result, local telephone calls in Tokyo and Osaka continuously increased until 1919 (see Figure 6).

(Figure 6 around here)

This increase in the local telephone calls resulted from the growth of the telephone subscribers (see Figure 7).

(Figure 7 around here)

We can examine these subscribers' jobs in Tokyo and Osaka according to detailed data from March 1897, in which there were 2487 telephone subscribers in Tokyo and Osaka. Then, 1124 subscribers were categorized into merchants, who needed to acquire the commercial information, and included 154 brokers in the exchanges, 123 cloth traders, 88 restaurants, and 70 traders of rice and manure. Therefore, many rice traders, including brokers in the exchange, wholesalers, and retailers, had been able to use the local telephone service frequently since the 1890s (see Cabinet Office, Statistical Bureau (1889, pp.856–857)).

These telephone subscribers could enjoy the abovementioned service provided by telegraph offices, the procedure of which went roughly as follows. First, a sender provided the telegraph office with the content of a telegram by telephone. Second, the telegraph office gave a telegram to the telegraph office nearest the destination. Third, the receiving telegraph office provided an addressee with the content of the telegram over the telephone.

This service had two points of convenience for users. First, it reduced the required time for sending and receiving telegrams. A user sending a telegram did not need to go to the telegraph office or wait for a telegram carrier (see Ministry of Communications (1940a, p.535)). Second, this service simplified the payment of the telegram fee. Moreover, the fee for this service was free until November 1897. However, the Ministry of Communications switched from free to paid service in December 1897, and charged users 0.05 yen per telegram.[12] Yet, this service fee was reduced to 0.03 yen on January 15, 1899. In short, the service fee was generally low, and was charged together with the telegram fee at the end of each month. As a result, it was convenient for telephone subscribers of a merchant to frequently exchange commercial information using this service.[13]

The statistics presenting the number of telegrams using the service were unavailable before the early 1920s because the internal documents of the Ministry of Communications were destroyed by a fire caused by the Great Kanto Earthquake in 1923 (see Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Public Corporation (1959, p.680)). However, the telephone subscribers frequently used the service to send and receive telegrams. Moreover, Tokyo Central Telegraph Office (1958, p.318) stated, "The use of the sending telegram service with local phone increased along with the growing telephone subscribers." In fact, the Tokyo Central Telegraph Office laid the dedicated telephone lines for the sending telegram service by local telephone in 1900, but the lines were overflowed due to more users than their capacity in 1909 (see Tokyo Central Telegraph Office (1958, p.263)). The Tokyo Central Telegraph Office was forced to change the dial number for sending telegrams to alleviate the situation in 1909.[14]

In summary, the sending telegram service with local telephone allowed telephone subscribers to exchange messages on markets among merchants quite quick and inexpensively. Therefore, this service accelerated the increase in the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka. However, the expanding utilization of the service was interrupted in the mid-1900s along with the presence of stagnant telephone subscribers in Tokyo and Osaka (see Figure 7).

The Ministry of Communications expanded telephone facilities after the Japanese-Sino War. Then, Japan aggravated diplomatic relations with Russia in 1903 and the Japanese-Russo War began in 1904. The Ministry of Communications suspended the expansion of telephone facilities for civilian use, giving priority to military use. Accordingly, because the increase in telephone subscribers was interrupted, local telephone calls in Tokyo and Osaka did not increase from 1903 to 1908. Therefore, the use of the sending telegram service with local phone did not increase, and the increase in the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka lessened from the early to mid-1900s.

5.2.4 Diffusion of Telegram and Local Telephone from the End of 1900s to the Mid-1910s

The speed of market integration between the two cities increased from the end of the 1900s to mid-1910s (see Figure 3). We discuss this situation from two viewpoints

[12]See Tokyo Asahi Shimbun (1897, 1899) for details.
[13]See Tokyo Asahi Shimbun (1900) for details.
[14]See Tokyo Asahi Shimbun (1909) for details.

to understand from what it resulted. The first point was the increasing number of sent telegrams from the late 1900s (see Figure 5). Specifically, the sending of telegrams rapidly increased because the real telegram fee was reduced in the late 1910s (see Figure 4 and 5). The Ministry of Communications had not changed the telegram fee from April 1899 to May 1920, while the consumer price index increased by 3.3 times during the same period (see Okawa et al. (1967, p.135)). Consequently, the real telegram fee was reduced.

he second point was the increasing number of local telephone calls from 1909 (see Figure 6). In 1907, the Ministry of Communications resumed the expansion of telephone facilities for civilian use, and the number of telephone subscribers increased in Tokyo and Osaka (see Ministry of Communications (1940b, pp.555-560), Fujii (1998, pp.71–72), and Figure 7). As a result, local telephone calls in Tokyo and Osaka increased, and more telephone subscribers used the sending telegram service with local telephone.

5.2.5 Change in Telephone Charge System and Great Kanto Earthquake from End of 1910s

The increase in the speed of market integration between the two cities was suspended in the end of the 1910s, along with the interruption in the growth of sending telegrams (see Figure 3 and 5). There were two points involved in the interruption: the rise in the real telegram fee in June 1920 (see Figure 4) and expansion in the use of long-distance telephone calls in Tokyo and Osaka from the early 1920s (see Figure 8).

(Figure 8 around here)

The increase in the number of long-distance telephone calls resulted from the shortened call connection time. In 1924, the Ministry of Communications restricted users' time for long distance calls to three minutes to alleviate telephone network congestion (see Ministry of Communications (1940b, p.304)). At the same time, the long distance telephone service was a substitute for short- and medium-distance telegrams. When users telecommunicated within 200 kilometers (about 124 miles) of one another, the long distance telephone call cost them less than did a telegram (see Fujii (1998, p.128)). However, according to Figure 5, sending telegrams in Tokyo and Osaka did not decrease in the 1920s. These facts suggest that the number of sent long distance telegrams (over 200 kilometers) increased, while that of short and medium distance telegrams decreased. That is, the number of telegrams exchanged between Tokyo and Osaka was presumed to increase; nevertheless, the increase in the speed of market integration between the two cities was suspended. This situation resulted from the decrease in local telephone calls in Tokyo and Osaka (see Figure 6).

The number of local telephone calls in the two cities decreased greatly because of a change in the telephone charge system in April 1920. Although telephone subscribers could use the local telephone without an additional fee, except for the annual charge until March 1920, they had to pay 0.02 yen for one call in large cities, such as Tokyo, Yokohama, Nagoya, Kyoto, Osaka, and Kobe (see Ministry of Communications (1940b, pp.33–37)). The Ministry of Communications changed the telephone charge system to alleviate network congestion, similar to the case of time restrictions of long distance calls in 1924. On the other hand, the number of telephone subscribers increased because the Ministry of Communications reduced the annual charge from 66 to 45 yen in April 1920,

and local telephone calls increased from 1921 to 1922 (see Figures 6 and 7). However, the use of local telephone calls decreased again because of the Great Kanto Earthquake in 1923, in which 64% of the building owned by telephone subscribers in Tokyo was destroyed and 15 telegraph offices, including the Tokyo Central Telegraph Office, collapsed (see Ministry of Communications (1940a, p.165) and Ministry of Communications (1940b, p.642)). In short, local telephone calls decreased in the early 1920s because of the change in the charge system in 1920 and the devastating earthquake in 1923. Therefore, the use of the sending telegram service with local telephone decreased.

In the late 1920s, the speed of market integration between Tokyo and Osaka increased slightly (see Figure 3). Local telephone calls began to increase in 1925 with the rebuilding after the earthquake in Tokyo and, in 1928, they exceeded the pre-earthquake level, which caused the expansion of the sending telegram service with local telephone. We can examine the utilization of this service within the district boundary of the Tokyo Central Telegraph Office, according to detailed data on the service from 1924. From 1924 to 1931, the use of this service increased from 117005 to 384989 (see Tokyo Central Telegraph Office (1958, p.319)). At the same time, local telephone calls in Tokyo increased from 161 to 568 million (see Tokyo Prefectural Government (1927, p.588) and Tokyo Prefectural Government (1934, p.564)). That is, from 1924 to 1931, in Tokyo, the sending telegrams with local telephone service increased 3.3 times, and local telephone calls increased 3.5 times. Therefore, the use of the sending telegrams with local phone service increased along with local telephone calls. As a result, the speed of market integration between the two cities increased.

6 Conclusion

The main results of this paper are summarized in three points: (i) the Japanese rice markets had been integrated until the 1910s; (ii) increasing use of telegraphs had accelerated rice market integration from the Meiji period in Japan; and (iii) local telephone service, which reduced urban usersâĂŹ time spent sending and receiving telegrams, promoted market integration.

The previous studies concluded that the Japanese rice markets were integrated between Osaka and other local cities in the Tokugawa period (see Section 1). In contrast, this paper argues that the major rice markets had continued to be integrated through the Meiji period, since the Japanese government began to build a telegraph network. However, the early introduction of the network played a partial role in promoting market integration. In addition, the continuously increasing use of telegrams and local telephone calls advanced market integration. The government reduced the real telegram fee and local telephone subscribers paid an annually fixed fee to use their telephones. Accordingly, brokers could use the telegram and local telephone services inexpensively. Meanwhile, the long distance telephone fee was higher than was the telegram fee. As a result, traders did not substitute the long distance telephone service for that of the telegram. In sum, the trend of telegraph use depended on the fee structure, and the reduction of the real telegram fee resulted in the growing use of telegrams. Therefore, the increase in the real fee caused market integration to stagnate. In prewar Japan, the process of market integration depended on

the communication costs determined by the government's fee policy.

Since the 18th century, the Japanese rice market had been one of the most well organized commodity markets in the world. Nevertheless, the communication technology had not constantly advanced until the 1860s in Japan. After the next decade, when the telegraph was introduced, the rice markets continued to be integrated. As we mentioned in Section 2, the government had built and managed the whole set of telecommunication networks since the Meiji period in Japan.[15] In fact, the government set the fees of telegrams and telephone calls; the cost of telecommunication was practically determined by the government in prewar Japan. Thus, rice market integration in Japan strongly depended on the government's policy on telecommunication before the early 20th century.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Shigehiko Ioku, Kozo Kiyota, Kris Mitchener, Chiaki Moriguchi, Tetsuji Okazaki, Minoru Omameuda, Masato Shizume, Yasuo Takatsuki, Tatsuma Wada, and Asobu Yanagisawa for their helpful comments and suggestions. We would also like to thank seminar and conference participants at Keio University; the Japanese Economics Association 2016 Autumn Meeting; the 85th Annual Conference of the Socio-Economic History Society; and the 91th Annual Conference of the Western Economic Association International for helpful discussions. We also thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for their financial assistance, as provided through the Grant in Aid for Scientific Research Nos.26380397 (Mikio Ito), 26780199 (Kiyotaka Maeda), and 15K03542 (Akihiko Noda). All data and programs used for this paper are available on request.

[15]Notice that the international telephone and telegraph between Japan and overseas were built and managed by the Great Northern Telegraph Company in Denmark.

References

Bank of Japan, Research and Statistics Department (1987), "Meiji Iko Oroshiuri Bukka Shisu Tokei [Hundred-Year Statistics of Wholesale Price Indexes in Japan]," Tokyo, Japan.

Cabinet Office, Statistical Bureau (1885), "The 4th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1886), "The 5th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1888), "The 7th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1889), "The 8th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1890), "The 9th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1891), "The 10th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1892), "The 11th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1898), "The 17th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1899), "The 18th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1900), "The 19th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1901), "The 20th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1902), "The 21th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1903), "The 22th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1904), "The 23th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1905), "The 24th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1906), "The 25th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1907), "The 26th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1908), "The 27th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1909), "The 28th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1910), "The 29th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1911), "The 30th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1914a), "The 32th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1914b), "The 33th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1915), "The 34th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1916), "The 35th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1918), "The 36th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1919), "The 37th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1922), "The 41th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1925), "The 44th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1926), "The 45th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1927), "The 46th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1928), "The 47th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1929), "The 48th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1931), "The 50th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1932), "The 51th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1933), "The 52th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1934), "The 53th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

Cabinet Secretariat, Statistics Division (1893), "The 12th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1894), "The 13th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1895), "The 14th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1896), "The 15th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1897), "The 16th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

Census Bureau (1920), "The 38th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1921a), "The 39th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1921b), "The 40th Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

Chugai Shogyo Shimpo (1893a), "Kimai no Kehai Tsuyoshi [Increase in Rice Price in Futures]," *Chugai Shogyo Shimpo*, October 17, 1893.

— (1893b), "Tasho Otesuji no Uridama [Selling Account of Major Dealer]," *Chugai Shogyo Shimpo*, October 19, 1893.

Dobado-González, R., García-Hiernaux, A., and Guerrero, D. E. (2012), "The Integration of Grain Markets in the Eighteenth Century: Early Rise of Globalization in the West," *Journal of Economic History*, 72, 671–707.

DuBoff, R. B. (1983), "The Telegraph and the Structure of Markets in the United States, 1845-1890," *Research in Economic History*, 8, 253–277.

Elliott, G., Rothenberg, T. J., and Stock, J. H. (1996), "Efficient Tests for an Autoregressive Unit Root," *Econometrica*, 64, 813–836.

Fama, E. F. (1970), "Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work," *Journal of Finance*, 25, 383–417.

Federico, G. (2011), "When did European Markets Integrate?" *European Review of Economic History*, 15, 93–126.

— (2012), "How Much Do We Know About Market Integration in Europe?" *Economic History Review*, 65, 470–497.

Field, A. J. (1992), "The Magnetic Telegraph, Price and Quantity Data, and the Management of Capital," *Journal of Economic History*, 52, 401–413.

Findlay, R. and O'Rourke, K. H. (2007), *Power and Plenty: Trade, War, and the World Economy in the Second Millennium*, Princeton University Press.

Fujii, N. (1998), *Telekomu no Keizai Shi* [Economic Development and Telecommunication in Modern Japan], Keiso Shobo, Tokyo, Japan.

Gonzalez-Rivera, G. and Helfand, S. M. (2001), "The Extent, Pattern, and Degree of Market Integration: A Multivariate Approach for the Brazilian Rice Market," *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 83, 576–592.

Grand Council of State, Statistical Commission (1883), "The 2nd Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1884), "The 3rd Japan Statistical Yearbook," Tokyo, Japan.

Hamori, S., Hamori, N., and Anderson, D. A. (2001), "An Empirical Analysis of the Efficiency of the Osaka Rice Market during Japan's Tokugawa Era," *Journal of Futures Markets*, 21, 861–874.

Hansen, B. E. (1992), "Testing for Parameter Instability in Linear Models," *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 14, 517–533.

Hoag, C. (2006), "The Atlantic Telegraph Cable and Capital Market Information Flows," *Journal of Economic History*, 66, 342–353.

Ishii, K. (1994), *Joho Tsuushin no Shakaishi* [Social History of Information and Communications], Yuhikaku, Tokyo, Japan.

Ito, M., Maeda, K., and Noda, A. (2014a), "The Futures Premium and Rice Market Efficiency in Prewar Japan," [arXiv:1404.5381], Available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1404.5381.pdf.

— (2017), "Market Efficiency and Government Interventions in Prewar Japanese Rice Futures Markets," *Financial History Review*, 23, 325–346.

Ito, M., Noda, A., and Wada, T. (2014b), "International Stock Market Efficiency: A Non-Bayesian Time-Varying Model Approach," *Applied Economics*, 46, 2744–2754.

— (2016), "Time-Varying Comovement of Foreign Exchange Markets," [arXiv:1610.04334], Available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.04334.pdf.

Johansen, S. (1988), "Statistical Analysis of Cointegration Vectors," *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 12, 231–254.

— (1991), "Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Cointegration Vectors in Gaussian Vector Autoregressive Models," *Econometrica*, 59, 1551–1580.

Juselius, K. (2006), *The Cointegrated VAR Model: Methodology and Applications*, Oxford University Press.

Kakizaka, M. (2012), "19 Seiki ni Okeru Dojima Kome Shijo no Koritsusei ni Tsuite no Ichikosatsu [A Study on the Efficiency of the Dojima Rice Market in the 19th Century]," *Nihon Keizai Kenkyu* [JCER Economic Journal], 66, 72–87.

Kaukiainen, Y. (2001), "Shrinking the World: Improvements in the Speed of Information Transmission, c. 1820-1870," *European Review of Economic History*, 5, 1–28.

Koiwa, N. (1974), "The Trend of Rice Price and the Role of Intermediary Trade in Japan, 1878-1888 (in Japanese)," *Socio-Economic History*, 40, 25–50.

— (1980), "The Regional Movement of Price in Early Meiji Japan (in Japanese)," *Researches in Philosophy, History, Literature, and Economics*, 26/27, 73–92.

Kosaki, M. (1934), *Denwa Jigyo no Unei to Sono Riyou* [Management and Use of the Telephone Service], Kotsu Keizai Sha, Tokyo, Japan.

Lew, B. and Cater, B. (2006), "The Telegraph, Co-ordination of Tramp Shipping, and Growth in World Trade, 1870-1910," *European Review of Economic History*, 10, 147–173.

Minami, R. (1976), "Motive Power and Technology in the Printing Industry (in Japanese)," *Economic Review*, 27.

Ministry of Commerce and Industry (1931), "Bukka Tokei Hyo [Statistics of Wholesale Prices]," Tokyo, Japan.

Ministry of Communications (1940a), "History of the Telecommunications Business, Vol.3," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1940b), "History of the Telecommunications Business, Vol.4," Tokyo, Japan.

Mitchener, K. J. and Ohnuki, M. (2009), "Institutions, Competition, and Capital Market Integration in Japan," *Journal of Economic History*, 69, 138–171.

Miwa, R. (1975), "Kaijo Kotsu [Marine Transportation]," in *Ryutsu Shi II* [History of Distribution Vol.2], eds. Furushima, T. and Ando, Y., Yamakawa Shuppansha, Tokyo, Japan, pp. 319–358.

Miyamoto, M. (1988), *Kinsei Nihon no Shijo Keizai* [The Market Economy of Pre-Modern Japan], Yuhikaku, Tokyo, Japan.

Miyamoto, M., Uekawa, Y., Uemura, M., Shiba, T., Hiroyama, K., Chakepaichayon, V., Mori, N., and Sakata, Y. (1979), "Meijiki Osaka Oroshiuri Bukka Shiryo (1): Kome, Sake, Sekitan, Kuriwata, Menka [Osaka Wholesale Prices (1) 1881–1912: Rice, Sake, Coal and Cotton]," *Osaka Daigaku Keizaigaku* [Osaka Economic Papers], 29, 140–190.

Morita, S. (1975), "Kome no Ryutsu [Distribution of Rice]," in *Ryutsu Shi II* [History of Distribution Vol.2], eds. Furushima, T. and Ando, Y., Yamakawa Shuppansha, Tokyo, Japan, pp. 40–82.

Muramatsu, I. and Amazawa, F. (1965), *Gendai Nihon Sangyo Hattatsu Shi* [History of the Industrial Growth in Modern Japan], vol. 22, Kojunsha Shuppankyoku, Tokyo, Japan.

Nakanishi, S. (2002), "Kindai no Shohin Shijo [Commodity Market in Modern Japan]," in *Ryutsu Keizaishi* [Economic History of Distribution], eds. Sakurai, E. and Nakanishi, S., Yamakawa Shuppansha, Tokyo, Japan, pp. 275–328.

Nakazawa, B. (1933), *Nihon Beika Hendo Shi* [History of Rice Price Fluctuations in Japan], Kashiwa Shobo, Tokyo, Japan.

Newey, W. K. and West, K. D. (1987), "A Simple, Positive Semi-Definite, Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix," *Econometrica*, 55, 703–708.

Ng, S. and Perron, P. (2001), "Lag Length Selection and the Construction of Unit Root Tests with Good Size and Power," *Econometrica*, 69, 1519–1554.

Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Public Corporation (1955), "Denpo Ryokin no Enkaku [History of Telegram Fee]," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1956), "Denwa Ryokin no Enkaku [History of Telephone Fee]," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1959), "Denshin Denwa Zigyo Shi Dai 1 Kan [History of Telegram and Telephone Business Vol.1]," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1960), "Denshin Denwa Zigyo Shi Bekkan [History of Telegram and Telephone Business: Appendix]," Tokyo, Japan.

Nishikawa, S. and Abe, T. (1990), "Gaisetsu; 1885–1914 nen [Outline from 1885 to 1914]," in *Nihon Keizai Shi 4: Sangyo-ka no Zidai Jo [Japanese Economic History Vol.4: Industrialization Part.1]*, eds. Nishikawa, S. and Abe, T., Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, Japan.

Obstfeld, M. and Taylor, A. M. (2004), *Global Capital Markets: Integration, Crisis, and Growth*, Cambridge University Press.

Okawa, K., Noda, T., Takahashi, N., Yamada, S., Kumazaki, M., Shionoya, Y., and Minami, R. (1967), *Estimates of Long-Term Economic Statistics of Japan Since 1868: Prices*, vol. 8, Toyo Keizai Shinposha, Tokyo, Japan.

Okawa, K., Takahashi, N., and Yamamoto, Y. (1974), *Estimates of Long-Term Economic Statistics of Japan Since 1868: National Income*, vol. 1, Toyo Keizai Shinposha, Tokyo, Japan.

O'Rourke, K. H. (1997), "The European Grain Invasion, 1870–1913," *Journal of Economic History*, 57, 775–801.

Osaka Prefectural Government (1893), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1891," Osaka, Japan.

— (1922), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1920," Osaka, Japan.

— (1923), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1921," Osaka, Japan.

— (1924), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1922," Osaka, Japan.

— (1925), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1923," Osaka, Japan.

— (1927), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1925," Osaka, Japan.

— (1929), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1927," Osaka, Japan.

— (1932), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1930," Osaka, Japan.

— (1933), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1931," Osaka, Japan.

— (1936), "Osaka Prefecture Statistics in 1934," Osaka, Japan.

Qu, Z. (2007), "Searching for Cointegration in a Dynamic System," *Econometrics Journal*, 10, 580–604.

Sasaki, N. (1937), "Tokyo Kaimai Donya Kumiai Fukagawa Shomai Shijo 50-nen Shi [Fifty Year's History of Tokyo Rice Wholesalers Association and Fukagawa Rice Spot Market]," Tokyo, Japan.

Schaede, U. (1989), "Forwards and Futures in Tokugawa-Period Japan: A New Perspective on the Dōjima Rice Market," *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 13, 487–513.

Schwarz, G. (1978), "Estimating the Dimension of a Model," *Annals of Statistics*, 6, 461–464.

Shimizu, Y. (1913), *Beikoku Touki Ron* [Speculation in Rice], Ganshodo Shoten, Tokyo, Japan.

Sugihara, K. (1990), "Shogyo Zasshi [The Business Magazine]," in *Nihon Keizai Zasshi no Genryu* [The Origin of Japanese Economic Journals], ed. Sugihara, K., Yuhikaku, Tokyo, Japan.

Sugiyama, K. (1965), "Kokuritsu Ginko no Toukeiteki Kosatsu [Statistical Views on the National Banks]," in *Meiji Zenki no Ginko Seido* [Banking System in Early Meiji Period], eds. Tohata, S. and Takahashi, T., Toyo Keizai Shimpo Sha, Tokyo, Japan, pp. 3–51.

Suzuki, S. (1940), *Dojima Kome Shijo Shi* [History of the Dojima Rice Market], Nippon Hyoron Sha, Tokyo, Japan.

— (1972), "The Circulation of Rice in Meiji and Taisho Eras (in Japanese)," *Journal of Rural Community Studies*, 35, 10–21.

Takatsuki, Y. (2008), "Kinsei Ryoshumai Chuo Shijo no Kino: Dojima Kome Kaisho ni Okeru Beika Keisei no Koritsusei [The Center of Rice Trade in Tokugawa Japan: Efficiency of the Dojima Rice Market]," *Shakai Keizai Shigaku* [Socio-Economic History], 74, 3–22.

— (2012), *Kinsei Komeshijo no Keisei to Tenkai* [The Rise and Development of Rice Exchange Market in Tokugawa Japan], University of Nagoya Press, Nagoya, Japan.

Tokyo Asahi Shimbun (1897), "Denwa Itaku Dempo [Sending and Receiving the Telegram by Phone]," *Tokyo Asahi Shimbun*, December 2, 1897.

— (1899), "Denwa Itaku Demporyo Teigen [The Decrease in the Fee of Sending Telegram Service with Local Phone]," *Tokyo Asahi Shimbun*, January 10, 1899.

— (1900), "Denwa no Dempo Itaku [The Sending Telegram Service with Local Phone]," *Tokyo Asahi Shimbun*, April 7, 1900.

— (1909), "Denwa Raishin no Denwa Bango Henkou [The Change of the Dial Number for the Sending Telegram Service]," *Tokyo Asahi Shimbun*, October 3, 1909.

Tokyo Central Telegraph Office (1958), "History of the Tokyo Central Telegraph Office," Telecommunications Association, Tokyo, Japan.

Tokyo Grain Exchange (2003), "Tokyo Beikoku Torihikijo shi [History of the Tokyo Rice Exchange]," Tokyo, Japan.

Tokyo Prefectural Government (1893), "Tokyo Prefecture Statistics in 1891," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1908), "Tokyo Prefecture Statistics in 1903," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1913), "Tokyo Prefecture Statistics in 1911," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1923), "Tokyo Prefecture Statistics in 1921," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1927), "Tokyo Prefecture Statistics in 1925," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1928), "Tokyo Prefecture Statistics in 1926," Tokyo, Japan.

— (1934), "Tokyo Prefecture Statistics in 1932," Tokyo, Japan.

Toyo Keizai Shimpo Sha (1927), "Meiji Taisho Kokusei Souran [The Statistical Survey of Japan]," Tokyo, Japan.

Transportation Bureau, Ministry of Railways (1925), "Kome ni Kansuru Keizai Chosa [Economic Survey of Rice]," Tokyo, Japan.

Wakita, S. (2001), "Efficiency of the Dojima Rice Futures Market in Tokugawa-Period Japan," *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 25, 535–554.

Yomiuri Shimbun (1893), "Sakujitsu no Teikimai [YesterdayâĂŹs Rice Market]," *Yomiuri Shimbun*, September 26, 1893.

Figure 1: Japanese Cities Related to Rice Distributions



Note: R version 3.3.2 was used to draw the figure.

Figure 2: Telegram Code: A Case of Masuzo Hirai



Table 1: Descriptive Statistics and Unit Root Tests

	Level				First Difference			
	S_T	F_T	S_O	F_O	ΔS_T	ΔF_T	ΔS_O	ΔF_O
Mean	2.6811	2.6645	2.6648	2.6449	0.0009	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013
SD	0.6328	0.6629	0.6629	0.6237	0.0539	0.0627	0.0619	0.0634
Min	1.5282	1.4974	1.4469	1.5129	−0.3670	−0.3189	−0.2939	−0.3069
Max	3.9947	3.9281	4.0157	3.9178	0.2791	0.3860	0.6569	0.2523
ADF-GLS	−2.1585	−2.1695	−2.0507	−2.2208	−3.8500	−3.8161	−13.8956	−7.7518
Lags	1	1	1	1	8	8	1	3
$\hat{\phi}$	0.9926	0.9881	0.9890	0.9881	0.5372	0.3781	0.1968	0.3690
$\mathcal{N}$	620				619			

Notes:

(1) "ADF-GLS" denotes the ADF-GLS test statistics, "Lags" denotes the lag order selected by the MBIC, and "$\hat{\phi}$" denotes the coefficients vector in the GLS detrended series (see equation (6) in Ng and Perron (2001)).

(2) In computing the ADF-GLS test, a model with a time trend and a constant is assumed. The critical value at the 1% significance level for the ADF-GLS test is "−3.42".

(3) "$\mathcal{N}$" denotes the number of observations.

(4) R version 3.3.2 was used to compute the statistics.

Table 2: Johansen's Cointegration Tests

		Maximal Eigenvalue		Trace	
	Eigenvalues	Test Stats	CV(1%)	Test Stats	CV(1%)
None	0.3587	274.59	33.24	433.69	60.16
At most 1	0.1424	94.94	26.81	159.09	41.07
At most 2	0.0958	62.20	20.20	64.16	24.60
At most 3	0.0032	1.96	12.97	1.96	12.97

Notes:

(1) "Maximal Eigenvalue" and "Trace" denote the Johansen's (1988) maximal eigenvalue test and Johansen's (1991) trace test, respectively.

(2) "Test Stats" and "CV(1%)" denote the test statistics and the critical values at the 1% significance level for the each tests, respectively.

(3) R version 3.3.2 was used to compute the statistics.

Table 3: Time-Invariant VECM Estimations

		S_T	F_T	S_O	F_O
Difference					
	$\Delta S_{T,t-1}$	0.2476	-0.0482	0.2890	0.0352
		[0.0864]	[0.1163]	[0.1153]	[0.0969]
	$\Delta F_{T,t-1}$	0.0783	0.1924	0.0497	-0.2737
		[0.0576]	[0.0832]	[0.0542]	[0.0642]
	$\Delta S_{O,t-1}$	0.0069	-0.0677	-0.0753	-0.0365
		[0.0817]	[0.0748]	[0.0875]	[0.0696]
	$\Delta F_{O,t-1}$	0.0153	0.0629	0.0140	0.2681
		[0.0425]	[0.0583]	[0.0438]	[0.0476]
Level					
	Constant	0.0070	0.0234	-0.0401	0.0148
		[0.0111]	[0.0128]	[0.0152]	[0.0152]
	$S_{T,t-1}$	-0.1572	-0.0672	0.1443	-0.1555
		[0.0536]	[0.0548]	[0.0942]	[0.0437]
	$F_{T,t-1}$	0.0883	-0.0540	0.0939	0.5389
		[0.0506]	[0.0726]	[0.0479]	[0.0628]
	$S_{O,t-1}$	0.0065	0.0510	-0.3149	0.1238
		[0.0467]	[0.0490]	[0.0796]	[0.0506]
	$F_{O,t-1}$	0.0615	0.0628	0.0921	-0.5150
		[0.0355]	[0.0550]	[0.0371]	[0.0556]
$\bar{R}^2$		0.1792	0.0242	0.1836	0.2835
L_C			93.3609		

Notes:

(1) "$\bar{R}^2$" denotes the adjusted R^2, and "L_C" denotes Hansen's (1992) joint L_C statistic with variance.

(2) Newey and West's (1987) robust standard errors are in brackets.

(3) R version 3.3.2 was used to compute the estimates and the statistics.

Table 4: Qu's Cointegration Order Change Tests

	$SupQ^1$	$SupQ^2$	WQ	SQ
Test Statistics	0.59	0.85	0.59	1.14
CV (1%)	4.49	5.91	4.96	7.67

Notes:

(1) "$SupQ^1$" and "$SupQ^2$" denote the values allowing for one break and two breaks, respectively.

(2) "WQ" and "SQ" also denote consistent test stats when we suppose less than three breaks based on the maximum and sum of $SupQ^1$ and $SupQ^2$, respectively.

(3) R version 3.3.2 was used to compute the statistics.

Figure 3: Time-Varying Speed of Market Integration and its Accelaration



Note: R version 3.3.2 was used to compute the estimates and the statistics.

Figure 4: Real Fees of Telephone and Telegram between Tokyo and Osaka



Data Sources:

(1) Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Public Corporation (1955, 1956).

(2) Bank of Japan, Research and Statistics Department (1987).

Notes:

(1) Japanese government launched the long-distance call service between Tokyo and Osaka in January 1899.

(2) Telegram fee includes the additional charge of 10 characters for the senders' signature from March 1899 to May 1920 and the addressee fee after June 1920.

Figure 5: Sent Telegrams in Tokyo and Osaka



Data Sources:

(1) Grand Council of State, Statistical Commission (1883, 1884)

(2) Cabinet Office, Statistical Bureau (1885, 1886, 1888, 1889, 1890, 1891, 1892, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902, 1903, 1904, 1905, 1906, 1907, 1908, 1909, 1910, 1911, 1914a,b, 1915, 1916, 1918, 1919, 1922, 1925, 1926, 1927, 1928, 1929, 1931, 1932, 1933, 1934).

(3) Cabinet Secretariat, Statistics Division (1893, 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897).

(4) Census Bureau (1920, 1921a,b).

(5) Osaka Prefectural Government (1893, 1922, 1927).

(6) Tokyo Prefectural Government (1893, 1923, 1928).

Figure 6: Local Telephone Calls in Tokyo and Osaka



Data Sources:

(1) Cabinet Office, Statistical Bureau (1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902, 1903, 1904, 1905, 1906, 1907, 1908, 1910, 1914a,b, 1915, 1916, 1918, 1919, 1922).

(2) Cabinet Secretariat, Statistics Division (1896, 1897).

(3) Tokyo Prefectural Government (1908, 1913, 1934).

(4) Osaka Prefectural Government (1923, 1925, 1932, 1936).

Note: There is no statistics presenting the number of units on the local telephone call in 1906 and 1910.

Figure 7: Local Telephone Subscribers in Tokyo and Osaka



Data Sources:

(1) Cabinet Office, Statistical Bureau (1892, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902, 1903, 1904, 1905, 1906, 1907, 1908, 1910, 1911, 1914a,b, 1915, 1916, 1918, 1919).

(2) Cabinet Secretariat, Statistics Division (1893, 1894, 1895, 1896, 1897).

(3) Census Bureau (1920, 1921a).

(4) Osaka Prefectural Government (1922, 1924, 1927, 1932, 1936).

(5) Tokyo Prefectural Government (1927, 1934).

Note: There is no statistics presenting the total number of the local telephone subscribers in 1903.

Figure 8: Long-Distance Telephone Calls in Tokyo and Osaka



Data Sources:

(1) Osaka Prefectural Government (1924, 1929, 1933, 1936).

(2) Tokyo Prefectural Government (1927, 1934).